IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,	8:14CR97	
VS.	DETENTION ORDER	
JACOB BEARSHIELD,		
Defendant.	,	
A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing Reform Act on March 28, 2014, the Cou pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail rt orders the above-named defendant detained).	
conditions will reasonably assure X By clear and convincing evidence		
which was contained in the Pretrial Ser X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: assault be violation of 18 U.S. sentence of ten years habitual offender in U.S.C. §§ 117 and 1 imprisonment. X (b) The offense is a crim (c) The offense involves	by strangulation in Indian Country (Count I) in C. §§ 113(a) and 1153 carries a maximum s imprisonment; and the domestic assault by a Indian Country (Count II) in violation of 18 151 carries a maximum sentence of five years the of violence.	
may affect w The defenda X The defenda X The defenda The defenda The defenda The defenda A The defenda Court proces	ant appears to have a mental condition which whether the defendant will appear. ant has no family ties in the area. ant has had no steady employment. ant has no substantial financial resources. ant is not a long time resident of the community. ant does not have any residential ties. at of the defendant: ant has a history relating to drug abuse. ant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. ant has a significant prior criminal record. lant has a prior record of failure to appear at	

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

				Par	bation ole pervised Release
		(c)	Other		
					e defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
					ortation.
					e defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to ortation if convicted.
				The	e Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement CE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
				0	o
 <u>X</u>	(4)	releas	se are a	s follo	seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's ws: the nature of the charges in the Indictment and the
		deten	dant's p	rior h	istory of domestic violence.
Χ	(5)	Rebu	ttable F	resu	mptions
	()	In det	erminin	g that	the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied
					rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. §
	X	3142((a)	That i	11 111 11	Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: ondition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		(\alpha)			appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
			of any	other	person and the community because the Court finds that
					volves:
			<u>X</u>		A crime of violence; or
				(2)	An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
				(3)	A controlled substance violation which has a maximum
				(0)	penalty of 10 years or more; or
				(4)	A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two
					or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
					above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for
					one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was
					committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
		(b)	That n	o cor	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably
		_ (/	assure	the a	ppearance of the defendant as required and the safety
					nunity because the Court finds that there is probable
			cause		
				(1)	That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
					10 years or more.
				(2)	That the defendant has committed an offense under 18
				()	U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and
					in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of
					violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment
					if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives
 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

- persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: March 31, 2014. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge